Interview Summary	09/897,320		HSIEH, GEORGE		
	Examin r		Art Unit		
	Gregory D Th	ompson	2835		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Gregory D Thompson</u> .	Gregory D Thompson. (3)				
(2) <u>Ann McCrackin</u> .	1. (4)				
Date of Interview: <u>04 November 2003</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>NONE</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>IDS filed on 8/25/2003</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.					
reached, or any other comments: IDS filed on 8/25/2003 not matched with case before mailing of the Final rejection on 8/27/2003. Therefore, Final mailed 8/27/2003 withdrawn inview of the filed IDS and new Final rejection given with time period restrated. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		Evonino do si-sa	turo is accused		
Attachment to a signed Office action.	ļ	zzammers signi	ature, if required		

Application No.

Applicant(s)